

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,321	03/25/2004	James R. Nigg	2267.958US01	1371
24113	7590 08/28/2006		EXAMINER	
PATTERSON 4800 IDS CEN	N, THUENTE, SKAAR	CHANG, RIG	CK KILTAE	
80 SOUTH 8TH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-2100		3729	

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/811,321	NIGG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rick K. Chang	3729
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Mar</u></li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) This</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or expectation Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examined 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	vn from consideration. election requirement.	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Extended to be a second or declaration.	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>∆</b> □ !=+===================================	(DTO 440)
Notice of References Cited (P10-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)	

Art Unit: 3729

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-10 and 21, drawn to a microelectronics transport tray, classified in class
     206, subclass 701.
- II. Claims 11-20 and 22, drawn to a method, classified in class 414, subclass 217.The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions of Group I and of Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as a gel material instead of a resilient sheet.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Christensen on 8/17/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 3729

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

6. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for

Application/Control Number: 10/811,321

Art Unit: 3729

better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to

Page 4

this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the

application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any

amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP

2163.06.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The

examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final

communications.

RICHARD CHANG

PRIMARY EXAMINER

RC

August 22, 2006